

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/931,256	08/17/2001	Noribumi Koitabashi	1272.7910D4	2738
5514	7590 02/13/2002			,
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			EXAMINER	
			DO, AN H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2002	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2

y	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/931,256	KOITABASHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	An H. Do	2853			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  - Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fir tute. cause the application to become ARANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _					
·	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-46</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.					
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	1/or election requirement				
Application Papers	are dission requirement.				
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		raminor			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in		TO TO BY THE EXAMINET.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ian priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119	(a)-(d) or (f)			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docume		ation No. 09/570 241			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr					
application from the International E  * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Rule 17,2(a)).				
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic.priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language $\mathfrak p$	provisional application has been restic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	eceived. 20 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)	30				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	rry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 5			

Application/Control Number: 09/931,256

Art Unit: 2853

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is the first Office Action on the merits responsive to the filing of the pending application, which is a divisional application of U.S. Patent No. 6,325,492 B1).

### **Priority**

- 1. This application appears to be a division of Application No. 08/579,241, filed 28 December 1995. A later application for a distinct or independent invention, carved out of a pending application and disclosing and claiming only subject matter disclosed in an earlier or parent application is known as a divisional application or "division." The divisional application should set forth only that portion of the earlier disclosure which is germane to the invention as claimed in the divisional application.
- 2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 08/579,241, filed on 28 December 1995.

#### Specification

- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - -Replace "now allowed" in the second line of the first paragraph with --now U.S.

Patent No. 6,325,492—

Appropriate correction is required.

## Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA

Application/Control Number: 09/931,256

**Art Unit: 2853** 

1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,325,492 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both application and patent claimed the same subject matter: an ink-jet apparatus employing an ink-jet head capable of ejecting ink in varying ejection amounts in a plurality of steps and performing printing by ejecting the ink from the ink-jet head toward a printing medium.

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to An Do whose telephone number is (703) 308-0525. The examiner can normally be reached from Monday - Friday.

If any attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Barlow Jr., Art Unit 2853, can be reached on (703) 308-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3431.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

AD

February 7, 2002

John Barlow

Supervisory Patent Examinor Technology Center 2800